

# Clinical Trial Protocol

## Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials

04 Jul 2026

### 5 year clinical evaluation of indirect full ceramic restorations(CEREC)

#### Protocol summary

##### Summary

Purpose: To evaluate the 5 year clinical performance of CEREC All ceramic indirect restorations, placed on tooth and implant abutments. Inclusion criteria: consisted of having received an indirect CAD/CAM all- ceramic restoration from the same private practice during the aforementioned time span Population and Sample size: 230 patients who had received CAD-CAM ceramic restorations (Cerec) between March 2009 to March 2010. Restorations consisted of veneers, inlay , onlay and crowns on natural tooth and implant abutments. Treatment was performed by one prosthodontist in a private clinic. Intervention and Time: The patients were recalled to be examined after 6 and 1224, 36, 48 and 60 months intervals. The follow-up examinations were performed by 2 prosthodontists other than the clinician who had placed restorations. For each patient, These data were collected: sex, age at crown delivery, tooth or implant position, luting agent, occluding teeth, endodontic treatment before delivery, post and core material, occlusal contacts, and periodontal parameters. CDA guidelines were used to evaluate the quality of restorations. Variables Alpha and Bravo (excellent and acceptable) were defined as successes, whereas variables Charlie and Delta (not acceptable) were defined as failures and remake of crown was necessary. The treatment was also considered a failure when the abutment tooth was extracted or implant failed following biologic complication. The patients were interviewed regarding their satisfaction using a visual analogue scale (VAS).

#### General information

##### Acronym

CAD/CAM

##### IRCT registration information

IRCT registration number: **IRCT2014083118981N1**

Registration date: **2014-10-24, 1393/08/02**

Registration timing: **retrospective**

Last update:

Update count: **0**

##### Registration date

2014-10-24, 1393/08/02

##### Registrant information

###### Name

Omid Savabi

###### Name of organization / entity

Isfahan University of Medical Sciences

###### Country

Iran (Islamic Republic of)

###### Phone

+98 31 3792 2812

###### Email address

savabi@dnt.mui.ac.ir

##### Recruitment status

###### Recruitment complete

##### Funding source

Isfahan university of medical science

##### Expected recruitment start date

2009-03-21, 1388/01/01

##### Expected recruitment end date

2010-03-20, 1388/12/29

##### Actual recruitment start date

empty

##### Actual recruitment end date

empty

##### Trial completion date

empty

##### Scientific title

5 year clinical evaluation of indirect full ceramic restorations(CEREC)

##### Public title

Survival and success rate of CAD/CAM All-ceramic crowns.

##### Purpose

Treatment

##### Inclusion/Exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria Patients who referred to the clinic.;Patients which indirect CAD/CAM all- ceramic restoration has indication for their treatment.;Patients who needed single implant treatment. Exclusion criteria Patients who don't accept to enter the study.; Indirect all-ceramic restorations was contraindicated as a treatment option.

**Age**

From **18 years** old to **78 years** old

**Gender**

Both

**Phase**

N/A

**Groups that have been masked**

*No information*

**Sample size**

Target sample size: **230**

**Randomization (investigator's opinion)**

N/A

**Randomization description****Blinding (investigator's opinion)**

Not blinded

**Blinding description****Placebo**

Not used

**Assignment**

Parallel

**Other design features****Secondary Ids**

empty

**Ethics committees****1****Ethics committee****Name of ethics committee**

Isfahan Regional Bioethics Committee

**Street address**

University of Medical Sciences

**City**

Isfahh

**Postal code**

8174673461

**Approval date**

2014-07-23, 1393/05/01

**Ethics committee reference number**

393400

**Health conditions studied****1****Description of health condition studied**

Other special examinations and investigations of persons without complaint or reported diagnosis

**ICD-10 code**

Z01.2

**ICD-10 code description**

Dental examination

**Primary outcomes****1****Description**

Survive or failure of treatment

**Timepoint**

6 ,12,24,36,48 and 60 months with calculation from the date of the insertion

**Method of measurement**

Clinical examination

**Secondary outcomes****1****Description**

Evaluation of technical and biological complications

**Timepoint**

6 ,12,24,36,48 and 60 months with calculation from the date of the insertion

**Method of measurement**

CDA guidelines for indirect restorations and periodontal parameters(Gingival Index, Plaque Index ,Probing pocket depth ,Bleeding on probing ) for periodontal evaluations.

**Intervention groups****1****Description**

Intervention group 1: For tooth- supported crowns the abutment teeth were prepared with a circumstantially rounded shoulder (1.0 mm in width), an axial reduction of 1.5 mm, and an occlusal reduction of 1.5 to 2.0 mm with 6 to 7° taper. The preparation margins were located at the gingival level or not more than 1 mm subgingivally. Preparation protocol for inlays and onlays was as follows: 1.5-2.0 mm pulpal floor depth, 1.0-1.5 mm axial reduction, 2.0 mm isthmus width, 1.5-2.0 mm occlusal reduction with Rounded internal line angles. For veneers average labial reduction was 0.5 mm with a long chamfer margin. After preparation, the teeth were isolated by cotton roll and saliva ejector and were scanned with CEREC BlueCam (CEREC AC) from occlusal and buccal view and in occlusion. Restorations were designed with digital design software (CEREC 3D 3.85) and milled from Sirona glass ceramic blocks, Empress CAD, or emax CAD in a standard speed. After milling proximal contacts and static and dynamic occlusal contacts were checked and corrected with fine diamond burs and water cooling. Silicon disclosing medium (Fit Checker, GC Co) was used to check the fit of restorations. Resin cements were used for luting of the tooth-supported restorations. The patients were recalled to be examined after 6 and 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 months intervals. The follow-up examinations were performed by 2 prosthodontists other than the clinician who had placed restorations. For each patient, These data were

collected: sex, age at crown delivery, tooth or implant position, luting agent, occluding teeth, endodontic treatment before delivery, post and core material, occlusal contacts, and periodontal parameters. CDA guidelines were used to evaluate the quality of restorations. Variables Alpha and Bravo (excellent and acceptable) were defined as successes, whereas variables Charlie and Delta (not acceptable) were defined as failures. The tooth extraction or loss of vitality or any condition result in remake of restoration were also consider as failure.

#### Category

Treatment - Other

## 2

#### Description

Intervention group 2; intervention1: For Implant-supported restorations, the implants were non-submerged (Soft tissue level, ITI Dental Implant System, Straumann AG, Basel, Switzerland). Conventional delayed loading protocol was followed after implant placement. All of the abutments were solid abutments. Abutments were scanned intraorally with CEREC BlueCam (CEREC AC) from occlusal and buccal view and in occlusion. Restorations were designed with digital design software (CEREC 3D 3.85) and milled from emax CAD in a standard speed. Silicon disclosing medium (Fit Checker, GC Co) was used to check the fit of restorations. Resin cements were used for cementation of the Implant-supported restorations. The patients were recalled to be examined after 6 and 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 months intervals. The follow-up examinations were performed by 2 prosthodontists other than the clinician who had placed restorations. For each patient, these data were collected: sex, age at crown delivery, implant position, luting agent, occluding teeth, occlusal contacts, and periodontal parameters. CDA guidelines were used to evaluate the quality of restorations. Variables Alpha and Bravo (excellent and acceptable) were defined as successes, whereas variables Charlie and Delta (not acceptable) were defined as failures and remake of crown was necessary. The treatment was also considered as a failure when implant failed following biologic complication. The patients were interviewed regarding their satisfaction using a visual analogue scale (VAS).

#### Category

Treatment - Other

## Recruitment centers

### 1

#### Recruitment center

**Name of recruitment center**  
Isfahan University of Medical Sciences  
**Full name of responsible person**  
Dr. Omid Savabi  
**Street address**  
#400, Sheikhsaddogh St  
**City**

Isfahan

## Sponsors / Funding sources

### 1

#### Sponsor

##### Name of organization / entity

Research Deputy of Research, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences

##### Full name of responsible person

Dr. Peyman Adibi

##### Street address

Hezar jarib St, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, School of Dentistry

##### City

Isfahan

##### Grant name

##### Grant code / Reference number

##### Is the source of funding the same sponsor organization/entity?

Yes

##### Title of funding source

Research Deputy of Research, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences

##### Proportion provided by this source

100

##### Public or private sector

empty

##### Domestic or foreign origin

empty

##### Category of foreign source of funding

empty

##### Country of origin

##### Type of organization providing the funding

empty

## Person responsible for general inquiries

#### Contact

##### Name of organization / entity

Isfahan University of Medical Science

##### Full name of responsible person

Dr Omid Savabi

##### Position

Director of Research Program of School of Dentistry

##### Other areas of specialty/work

##### Street address

School of dentistry, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Hezar Jerib St.

##### City

Isfahan

##### Postal code

8174673461

##### Phone

+98 31 3792 2812

##### Fax

+98 31116692585

##### Email

savabi@dnt.mui.ac.ir

##### Web page address

## Person responsible for scientific inquiries

### Contact

**Name of organization / entity**

Isfahan University of Medical Sciences

**Full name of responsible person**

Dr.Omid Savabi

**Position**

Prosthodontist/ Professor

**Other areas of specialty/work****Street address**

School Of Dentistry, Isfahan university of Medical Sciences

**City**

Isfahan

**Postal code**

8174673461

**Phone**

+98 31 3792 2812

**Fax**

+98 31136692585

**Email**

savabi@dnt.mui.ac.ir

**Web page address**

## Person responsible for updating data

### Contact

**Name of organization / entity**

isfahan university of medical science

**Full name of responsible person**

dr omid savabi

**Position**

Professor of Prosthodontist

**Other areas of specialty/work****Street address**

faculty of Dentistry ,Isfahan university of Medical Sciences,st hezarjarib,isfahan

**City**

isfahan

**Postal code**

8174673461

**Phone**

+98 31 3792 2812

**Fax**

+98 31 1669 2585

**Email**

savabi@dnt.mui.ac.ir

**Web page address**

## Sharing plan

**Deidentified Individual Participant Data Set (IPD)**

*empty*

**Study Protocol**

*empty*

**Statistical Analysis Plan**

*empty*

**Informed Consent Form**

*empty*

**Clinical Study Report**

*empty*

**Analytic Code**

*empty*

**Data Dictionary**

*empty*