

Clinical Trial Protocol

Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials

29 May 2026

Ketamine versus magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant to local anesthetics in the peribulbar block for posterior segment surgeries: A randomized controlled study

Protocol summary

Study aim

To evaluate the effects of ketamine and magnesium sulphate as adjuvants to local anesthetic mixtures on the onset, duration, and quality of peribulbar block in patients scheduled for posterior segment surgeries.

Design

Parallel group, randomized, controlled, clinical trial with 1:1:1 allocation ratio.

Settings and conduct

Research Institute of Ophthalmology, Giza, Egypt.

Participants/Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included adult (45-65 years-old), male and female patients, scheduled for posterior segment operations who were American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II and had an axial length < 25 mm. We excluded patients with coagulation abnormalities, impaired mental status, allergy to any of the study medications, and those having problems that interfere with surgeon patient communication and cooperation as deafness. In addition, patients with severe cardiac disease, chronic obstructive lung disease, history of sleep apnea, advanced liver or kidney disease, and history of chronic use of sedatives, narcotics, alcohol or drug abuse were excluded.

Intervention groups

The current trial has three groups. The first was given peribulbar anesthesia with a local anesthetic mixture composed of lidocaine 2% (4.5 ml), plain bupivacaine 0.5% (4.5 ml), 1 ml of normal saline, and hyaluronidase (13.5 IU per ml of the mixture). The second received the same anesthetic mixture plus 25 mg of ketamine added to 1 ml of normal saline. The third received the same anesthetic mixture plus 50 mg of magnesium sulphate added to 1 ml of normal saline.

Main outcome variables

Outcome variables included the onset and duration of globe akinesia, duration of lid akinesia, onset of sensory

block, time to start surgery, total analgesic time, intraocular pressure, and patient and surgeon satisfaction.

General information

Reason for update

Acronym

IRCT registration information

IRCT registration number: **IRCT20210220050419N1**

Registration date: **2021-02-27, 1399/12/09**

Registration timing: **retrospective**

Last update: **2021-02-27, 1399/12/09**

Update count: **0**

Registration date

2021-02-27, 1399/12/09

Registrant information

Name

Eslam Saleh

Name of organization / entity

Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Country

Egypt

Phone

+20 2 35718304

Email address

dr.eslam.m.saleh@gmail.com

Recruitment status

Recruitment complete

Funding source

Expected recruitment start date

2019-04-01, 1398/01/12

Expected recruitment end date

2020-04-01, 1399/01/13

Actual recruitment start date

2019-04-12, 1398/01/23

Actual recruitment end date

2020-07-31, 1399/05/10

Trial completion date

2020-07-31, 1399/05/10

Scientific title

Ketamine versus magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant to local anesthetics in the peribulbar block for posterior segment surgeries: A randomized controlled study

Public title

Ketamine versus magnesium sulphate as an adjuvant to local anesthetics in the peribulbar block for posterior segment surgeries

Purpose

Supportive

Inclusion/Exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria:

Posterior segment operations American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) physical status I or II Age: 45-65 years Axial length: < 25 mm

Exclusion criteria:

Coagulation abnormalities Impaired mental status Allergy to any of the study medications Problems that interfere with surgeon patient communication and cooperation as deafness Severe cardiac disease, chronic obstructive lung disease, history of sleep apnea, advanced liver or kidney disease History of chronic use of sedatives, narcotics, alcohol or drug abuse Patient refusal

Age

From **45 years** old to **65 years** old

Gender

Both

Phase

2

Groups that have been masked

- Participant
- Care provider
- Outcome assessor

Sample size

Target sample size: **126**

Actual sample size reached: **126**

Randomization (investigator's opinion)

Randomized

Randomization description

We used the sealed, opaque sequentially numbered envelopes method for randomization and allocation concealment of patients included in this trial. We used 126 identical, opaque, letter-sized envelopes lined with sheets of household aluminum cooking foil to ensure opacity. We prepared 126 envelope-sized sheets of white paper and 126 envelope-sized sheets of single sided carbon paper. We wrote "Treatment A" on 42 paper sheets, "Treatment B" on another 42 sheets, and "Treatment C" on the last 42 sheets. To prepare 42 "Treatment A" envelopes, we selected one envelope-sized sheet of Treatment A and placed one sheet of carbon paper on top of the Treatment A allocation paper with the carbon side facing the paper, then we inserted both into a blank envelope with the carbon paper closest to

the front of the envelope. Finally, we sealed the envelope and signed across the seal. We completed all the 42 "Treatment A" envelopes the same way. We prepared 42 "Treatment B" as well as 42 "Treatment C" envelopes similar to "Treatment A" envelopes. The three sets of envelopes were combined and shuffled thoroughly. Using a pen, we marked a number on the front of each envelope sequentially from 1 to 126 so the carbon paper inside the envelope transfer this number to the allocation paper inside. Finally, we placed these envelopes into a large plastic container, in numerical order, ready for use.

Blinding (investigator's opinion)

Double blinded

Blinding description

The study participants and care providers (carrying out the peribulbar block and assessing the participants' outcomes) were blinded to the type of intervention.

Placebo

Used

Assignment

Parallel

Other design features

Secondary Ids

empty

Ethics committees

1

Ethics committee

Name of ethics committee

Research Ethical Committee of the Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Street address

2 El Ahram Street

City

Giza

Postal code

12557

Approval date

2019-04-11, 1398/01/22

Ethics committee reference number

11-4-2019

Health conditions studied

1

Description of health condition studied

Peribulbar block in adult patients undergoing posterior segment surgeries.

ICD-10 code

ICD-10 code description

Primary outcomes

1

Description

The onset of sensory block.

Timepoint

At 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 min after the block.

Method of measurement

A small piece of cotton wool.

2

Description

The onset of globe akinesia.

Timepoint

At 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 min after the block.

Method of measurement

The 3-point scale.

3

Description

The Duration of lid akinesia.

Timepoint

At 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 h postoperative.

Method of measurement

Clinical assessment of regaining full movement.

4

Description

The duration of globe akinesia.

Timepoint

At 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 h postoperative.

Method of measurement

Clinical assessment of regaining full movement.

Secondary outcomes

1

Description

Patient satisfaction.

Timepoint

After recovery.

Method of measurement

7-point Likert-like verbal rating scale.

2

Description

Surgeon satisfaction.

Timepoint

At the end of surgery.

Method of measurement

The 7-point Likert-like verbal rating scale.

3

Description

The duration of analgesia.

Timepoint

At 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 h postoperative.

Method of measurement

The 10-point Visual Analog Scale

4

Description

The intraocular pressure.

Timepoint

At baseline and 10 minutes after injection of the local anesthetics.

Method of measurement

Schiotz tonometer

5

Description

Time to start surgery.

Timepoint

At 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 min after the block.

Method of measurement

Corneal anesthesia together with an ocular movement score ≤ 1 in each direction and an eyelid akinesia score of 0 (total score < 5).

Intervention groups

1

Description

Control group (GC) received peribulbar anesthesia with a local anesthetic mixture composed of lidocaine 2% (4.5 ml), plain bupivacaine 0.5% (4.5 ml), 1 ml of normal saline, and hyaluronidase (13.5 IU per ml of the local anesthetic mixture).

Category

Other

2

Description

Intervention group (GK) received a local anesthetic mixture composed of lidocaine 2% (4.5 ml), plain bupivacaine 0.5% (4.5 ml), 25 mg of ketamine in 1 ml of normal saline, and hyaluronidase (13.5 IU per ml of the local anesthetic mixture).

Category

Other

3

Description

Intervention group (GM) received a local anesthetic mixture composed of lidocaine 2% (4.5 ml), plain bupivacaine 0.5% (4.5 ml), 50 mg of magnesium sulphate in 1 ml of normal saline, and hyaluronidase (13.5 IU per ml of the local anesthetic mixture).

Category

Other

Recruitment centers

1

Recruitment center

Name of recruitment center

Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Full name of responsible person

Dr. Eslam Saleh

Street address

2 El Ahram Street

City

Giza

Postal code

12557

Phone

+20 2 35718304

Email

dr.eslam.m.saleh@gmial.com

Other areas of specialty/work

Anesthesiology

Street address

2 El Ahram Street

City

Giza

Province

Cairo

Postal code

31527

Phone

+20 2 35718304

Email

dr.eslam.m.saleh@gmial.com

Sponsors / Funding sources**1****Sponsor****Name of organization / entity**

Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Full name of responsible person

Dr. Eslam Saleh

Street address

2 El Ahram Street

City

Giza

Postal code

31527

Phone

+20 2 35718304

Email

dr.eslam.m.saleh@gmial.com

Grant name**Grant code / Reference number****Is the source of funding the same sponsor organization/entity?**

No

Title of funding source

Self-funded

Proportion provided by this source

100

Public or private sector

Private

Domestic or foreign origin

Domestic

Category of foreign source of funding*empty***Country of origin****Type of organization providing the funding**

Persons

Person responsible for general inquiries**Contact****Name of organization / entity**

Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Full name of responsible person

Dr. Eslam Saleh

Position

Consultant

Latest degree

Medical doctor

Person responsible for scientific inquiries**Contact****Name of organization / entity**

Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Full name of responsible person

Dr. Eslam Saleh

Position

Consultant

Latest degree

Medical doctor

Other areas of specialty/work

Anesthesiology

Street address

2 El Ahram Street

City

Giza

Province

Cairo

Postal code

31527

Phone

+20 2 35718304

Email

dr.eslam.m.saleh@gmial.com

Person responsible for updating data**Contact****Name of organization / entity**

Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Full name of responsible person

Dr. Eslam Saleh

Position

Consultant

Latest degree

Medical doctor

Other areas of specialty/work

Anesthesiology

Street address

2 El Ahram Street

City

Giza

Province

Cairo

Postal code

31527

Phone

+20 2 35718304

Email

dr.eslam.m.saleh@gmial.com

Sharing plan

Deidentified Individual Participant Data Set (IPD)

Yes - There is a plan to make this available

Study Protocol

Yes - There is a plan to make this available

Statistical Analysis Plan

No - There is not a plan to make this available

Informed Consent Form

No - There is not a plan to make this available

Clinical Study Report

No - There is not a plan to make this available

Analytic Code

No - There is not a plan to make this available

Data Dictionary

No - There is not a plan to make this available

Title and more details about the data/document

Ketamine and magnesium sulphate in peribulbar block for posterior segment surgeries IPD set (all collected deidentified IPD).

When the data will become available and for how long

Beginning 6 months and ending 24 months following article publication.

To whom data/document is available

Researchers from academic institutions whose proposal for the use of data has been approved by an independent review committee identified for this purpose.

Under which criteria data/document could be used

For IPD meta-analyses.

From where data/document is obtainable

From the principal investigator (A proposal for the use of data to be submitted to the principal investigator).

What processes are involved for a request to access data/document

proposal for the use of data to be submitted to the principal investigator, then evaluated by an independent review committee identified for this purpose.

Comments